UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,189	10/06/2005	Ermanno Filippi	9526-52 (166267)	6283
30448 7590 12/16/2010  AKERMAN SENTERFITT  P.O. BOX 3188  WEST DALM BEACH, EL 22402 2199			EXAMINER	
			MARTINEZ, BRITTANY M	
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188		58	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip@akerman.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,189	FILIPPI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	BRITTANY M. MARTINEZ	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 (2a)</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 3 are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. In ording it required if the drawing(s) in the drawing(s) is the drawing(s) in the drawing(s).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of Application

Acknowledgment is made of Applicants' arguments/remarks and amendment filed October 1, 2010. **Claims 1 and 3** are pending and amended in the instant application. Upon further consideration of the instant Claims, a restriction requirement was deemed necessary prior to further consideration on the merits. *See* below.

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, **Claim 1**, drawn to a method for carrying out highly exothermic oxidative reactions in pseudo-isothermal conditions.

Group II, **Claim 3**, drawn to a reactor for carrying out a highly exothermic oxidative reaction in pseudo-isothermal conditions.

# 2. REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in

Application/Control Number: 10/531,189 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

# 3. WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
  - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

Art Unit: 1734

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups I and II lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of a catalytic bed; a plurality of heat exchangers immersed in the catalytic bed; and a plurality of distribution-suppliers positioned in said catalytic bed at different points thereof, said distribution suppliers being for feeding a flow of reactants into the catalytic bed, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Filippi et al. (EP 1 236 505 A1) (of record) or Groombridge (GB 391444) (of record). Filippi discloses a catalytic bed (Filippi, Abstract); a plurality of heat exchangers (Filippi, paragraphs [0020]—[0024], [0031]-[0033]; Figures 1-5) immersed in the catalytic bed (Filippi, paragraphs [0028]— [0048]); and a plurality of distribution-suppliers (Filippi, paragraphs [0025]—[0027]) positioned in said catalytic bed at different points thereof, said distribution suppliers being for feeding a flow of reactants into the catalytic bed (Filippi, paragraphs [0028]— [0031], [0036] – [0037], [0048] – [0052]). Groombridge discloses a catalytic bed (Groombridge, Figure 1, "2"); a plurality of heat exchangers immersed in the catalytic bed (Groombridge, Figure 1, "8"); and a plurality of distribution-suppliers positioned in

Art Unit: 1734

said catalytic bed at different points thereof, said distribution suppliers being for feeding a flow of reactants into the catalytic bed (Groombridge, page 1, lines 78-107; page 2, lines 47-113; page 3, lines 1-130; page 4, lines 1-38; Figures 1 and 2).

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, Applicants must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), Applicants must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicants may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by Applicants, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1734

3. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRITTANY M. MARTINEZ whose telephone number is (571) 270-3586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emily M. Le can be reached on (571) 272-0903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,189 Page 7

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ngoc-Yen M Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1734

BMM /Brittany M Martinez/ Examiner, Art Unit 1734